Control Measure	A. under Consideration	B. Summary Description of Measure	C. Program/Measure Status	D. Specific Implementation Date	E. VOC Reduction	F. NOx Reduction	G. Resources (FTE's, \$\$)	H. Additional Information	ļ

## Waccamaw, SC (Designated - Attainment)

## WILLIAMSBURG COUNTY

Based on stakeholder consultation and taking into consideration resource and political constraints, the following emission reduction strategies remain under consideration. The County will continue to evaluate the air quality within the county and may implement one or more of the following measures under consideration

Air Quality Contact	One person will be identified as the Air Quality Contact. At a minimum, this contact will be responsible for ozone education/outreach and dissemination of ozone forecast.	Contact Person - J. P. Gamble	on-going	n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	See Comment #3
Support Statewide efforts	The county will support the efforts of SCDHEC regarding statewide reduction strategies.	Williamsburg County will continue to be proactive with citizens, industries and government agencies with information and education, bulletins, meetings, etc.		n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	See Comment #3
3. Local Business incentive	Air Quality improvement ideas will be sent to all companies. Will encourage each company to identify a contact person.	We will continue our relationship with all industries in strategies for ozone months of 2006. We will also update our contacts with our companies and identify their representative.	on-going	n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	See Comment #3
Air QualityStrategies	Continue to work with Stakeholders to implement air quality strategies	Will continue to work with our stakeholders to implement strategies to maintain attainment	on-going	n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	See Comment #3

Comments:

1. December 2004 - SC EAC SIP - activity not quantified for several reasons (first) in accordance with EAC Protocol, after all adopted Federal and State controls were accounted for in the modeling, it was determined that local controls were not necessary to demonstrate attainment of the 8-hour ozone standard. Measures were submitted by the local areas to show their continued support and commitment to the EAC process. (second) this activity is directionally sound and should provide air quality benefits and in some cases measurable results. The progress toward implementing this activity and the benefits derived will be documented as a part of the ongoing reporting requirements.

- 2. December 2003 Progress Report See http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/bag/html/eap\_dpr\_eac.html additional information provided by the county to include "findings", "advantages/disadvantages", "recommendations", "costs",
- 3. March 2004 Local Early Action Plan See http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap\_dpr\_eac\_0304.asp
- 4. June 2004 Progress Report See http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap\_dpr\_eac\_0604.asp
- 5. December 2004 Progress Report See http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap\_dpr\_eac\_1204.asp
- 6. December 2004 SC EAC SIP Including Appendix 8 (Local Early Action Plans and the Air Quality Awareness and Improvement Policy) and Appendix 16 (County Level Emission Reductions and Descriptions for the Ozone EAC Areas) See http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/bag/html/eap\_sip.html
- 7. April 20, 2005 Correspondence to Mr. Palmer including clarifying supplemental information to the EAC SIP submittal of December 2004. See http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap\_sip.html
- 8. June 2005 Progress Report See http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap\_dpr\_eac\_0605.asp